arXiv:1405.2456v1 [math.ST] 10 May 2014

On confidence intervals for the power of F-tests

A. A. Jafari*, A. Bazargan-Lari† , Mingfei Li‡

*Department of Statistics, Shiraz University, Shiraz 71454, IRAN

†Department of Statistics, Islamic Azad University-Fars Science & Research Branch, Shiraz, IRAN

E-mail: Bazargan-lari@susc.ac.ir

‡Department of Mathematics, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI 48824

E-mail: limingfe@msu.edu

Abstract

This note points out how confidence interval estimates for standard deviation transform into confidence interval estimates for the power of F-tests at fixed alternative means. An application is shown for the test of a two-sided hypothesis for the mean of a normal distribution.

Keywords: F-test; power; confidence intervals.

Let Y be a random variable distributed P_σ and $(a(Y), b(Y))$ be a $100(1-\gamma)\%$ confidence interval estimator for the parameter σ. If $\omega = f(\sigma)$ is a strictly increasing function of σ, then $(f(a(Y)), f(b(Y)))$ is a $100(1-\gamma)\%$ confidence interval estimator for ω and if $\omega = f(\sigma)$ is a strictly decreasing function of σ, then $(f(b(Y)), f(a(Y)))$ is a $100(1-\gamma)\%$ confidence interval estimator for ω.

Tarasińska (2005) uses the above idea in discussing confidence interval estimation of the power of the one-sided t-test based on confidence interval estimation of standard deviation. We point out how the power of the F-test is easily handled as well, a result that subsumes the two-sided t-test.

Consider the power ω of the typical α level F-test of the mean vector based on $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n$ independent, normally distributed random variables with common standard deviation σ. Under the standard model, the F-statistic has the distribution of $(U/u)/(V/v)$ where U is distributed

noncentral chi-square with u degrees of freedom and noncentrality parameter δ, V is distributed central chi-square with v degrees of freedom, and U and V are independent. At fixed alternative mean vectors, the noncentrality parameter $\delta = \delta(\sigma)$ is a strictly decreasing function of the scale parameter σ. (See Scheffé (1959, p. 39).) As an example consider the two-way, normal theory ANOVA with K observations in each of IJ cells, and the F-test that all interactions are 0. Here $u = (I-1)(J-1)$, $v = (K-1)IJ$ and the noncentrality parameter is $\delta(\sigma) = \sqrt{K\sum(\alpha\beta)_{ij}^2}/\sigma$.

Let $G_{u,v,\delta}$ denote the cdf of $(U/u)/(V/v)$, that is, the noncentral F-distribution. It is easy to see from the representation $(U/u)/(V/v)$ that

$$Power = \omega = 1 - G_{u,v,\delta}(c) = 1 - P(U \leq cuV/v) = 1 - E[F_{u,\delta}(cuV/v)] \tag{1}$$

where the expectation E is with respect to V, $F_{u,\delta}$ denotes the cdf of U, and c is the $1-\alpha$ quantile of $G_{u,v,0}$. Now $F_{u,\delta}(cuV/v)$ is the probability content of an origin centered hypersphere of radius $r = \sqrt{cuV/v}$ under translation of an origin centered spherical multivariate normal distribution by a distance δ. That this is monotone decreasing in δ may be taken as obvious by some. It follows from more general results in Anderson (1955). For a direct proof start with the fact that

$$F_{u,\delta}(r^2) = \delta^{1-u/2}e^{-\delta^2/2}\int_0^r x^{u/2}e^{-x^2/2}I_{u/2-1}(\delta x)dx \tag{2}$$

where I_w denotes the modified Bessel function of the first kind and order w. (For example, see Ruben (1962, (3.5)).) The derivative with respect to δ is calculated in Gilliland (1964) where we find

$$dF_{u,\delta}(r^2)/d\delta = -r^{u/2}\delta^{1-u/2}e^{-(r^2+\delta^2)/2}I_{u/2}(r\delta). \tag{3}$$

Since $I_{u/2}(r\delta) > 0$ for $r\delta > 0$, we see that $F_{u,\delta}(r^2)$ is a strictly decreasing function of δ. Together with (1) and the fact that $\delta = \delta(\sigma)$ is strictly decreasing in σ, we see that the power ω is a strictly decreasing function of σ. As seen, all the necessary monotonicities are easily established if not very widely known.

It follows that any $100(1-\gamma)\%$ confidence interval estimator for σ based on the residual sum of squares V transforms to a $100(1-\gamma)\%$ confidence interval estimator for the power ω. The usual $100(1-\gamma)\%$ CI interval estimate for σ is $a < \sigma < b$ where $a = \sqrt{V/B}$ and $b = \sqrt{V/A}$ with A and B such that $F_{v,0}(B) - F_{v,0}(A) = 1-\gamma$. The typical choices are $A = F_{v,0}^{-1}(\gamma/2)$ and

$B = F_{v,0}^{-1}(1-\gamma/2)$. The corresponding $100(1-\gamma)\%$ confidence interval estimator for ω is

$$1 - G_{u,v,\delta(b)}(c) < \omega < 1 - G_{u,v,\delta(a)}(c). \tag{4}$$

Tarasińska (2005, pp. 126-127, Table 1) proposes using positions A and B to minimize the length of the confidence interval for the power of the one-sided t-test. The idea applied to the power of the F-test would be to choose A and B to minimize

$$L = G_{u,v,\delta(\sqrt{V/A})}(c) - G_{u,v,\delta(\sqrt{V/B})}(c) \tag{5}$$

subject to the constraint $F_{v,0}(B) - F_{v,0}(A) = 1-\gamma$. In this case, the minimizing A and B depend upon V and the resulting intervals for power ω and their corresponding intervals for σ are not shown to have $100(1-\gamma)\%$ coverage probability (See Gilliland and Li (2007)).

Let $Y_1, ..., Y_n$ be a random sample from a normal population with mean μ and variance σ^2. Consider the test for the null hypothesis $H_\circ : \mu = \mu_\circ$ against the two-sided alternative hypothesis $H_1 : \mu \neq \mu_\circ$ with the level of significance α. The null hypothesis $H_\circ$ is rejected if

$$|\bar{Y} - \mu_\circ| > \frac{S}{\sqrt{n-1}}\sqrt{c}$$

in which $\bar{Y} = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_i$, $S^2 = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}(Y_i - \bar{Y})^2$ and c are the sample mean, the sample variance and the $1-\alpha$ quantile of the central F-distribution $G_{1,n-1,0}$, respectively.

The power function of the test (Lehmann, 1991) is defined as:

$$P(|\bar{Y} - \mu_\circ| > \frac{S}{\sqrt{n-1}}\sqrt{c}) = 1 - G_{1,n-1,\delta(\sigma)}(c). \tag{6}$$

where $\delta(\sigma) = \dfrac{\sqrt{n}|\mu - \mu_\circ|}{\sigma}$ is non-centrality parameter. By using the invariant properties of Maximum Likelihood Estimators (MLE), the MLE of power function in (6) is

$$1 - G_{1,n-1,\delta(S)}(c). \tag{7}$$

Let (a,b) be any $100(1-\gamma)\%$ confidence interval for σ, then by (4) the confidence interval for power function is

$$\left\{1 - G_{1,n-1,\delta(b)}(c), 1 - G_{1,n-1,\delta(a)}(c)\right\}. \tag{8}$$

The curve of the confidence intervals in (8) and the MLE estimates in (7) for the power function, as functions of $\dfrac{\mu - \mu_\circ}{S}$ for $n = 10$ and $\alpha = 0.05$, are given in Figure 1.



Figure 1. CI curves for the test power and the estimate of the power as the functions of $\frac{\mu - \mu_\circ}{S}$

Acknowledgement: We are grateful to the editor and referee for their helpful comments and suggestions which improved the presentation of the result.

References

[1] Anderson, T. W.,(1955).The integral of a symmetric unimodal function over a symmetric convex set and some probability inequalities, Proc. Am. Math. Soc.,6, 170-176.

[2] Gilliland, Dennis ,(1964). A note on the maximization of a non-central chi-square probability, Ann. Math. Statist., 35, 441-442.

[3] Gilliland, Dennis and Li, Mingfei,(2007). A note on confidence intervals for the power of t-test, Statistics & Probability Letters (to appear).

[4] Lehmann, E. L., (1991), Testing statistical hypothesis, Wiley, New York.

[5] Ruben, Harold,(1960). Probability content of regions under spherical normal distributions, I, Ann. Math. Statist., 31, 598-618.

[6] Scheffé, H., (1959). The Analysis of Variance, Wiley, New York.

[7] Tarasińska, J., (2005). Confidence intervals for the power of Student's t-test. Statistics & Probability Letters, 73, 125-130.